1 2	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
3	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782) MATTHEW A. PARRELLA (NYBN 2040855	<i>i</i>)	
5	Assistant United States Attorneys		
6	150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113		
7 8	Telephone: (408) 535-5596 Facsimile: (408) 535-5596 E-mail: David.Callaway@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10			
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 05-00812 RMW	
15	Plaintiff,	REVISED REQUEST BY THE PARTIES TO VACATE THE CURRENT PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND TRIAL	
16	v.	DATES BASED ON COURT'S SCHEDULE AND UNAVAILABILITY	
17	SUIBIN ZHANG,	OF KEY WITNESSES; ORDER [PROPOSED]	
18		DATE: November 15, 2010	
19	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m.	
20		Before The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte	
21			
22	As the parties advised the Court this morning in open court, defendant and the government,		
23	through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, that the trial of		
24	the above-captioned case be continued from its currently-scheduled date, November 29, 2010, to		
25	January 10, 2011, or as soon thereafter as the trial may be held consistent with the Court's		
26	schedule. The parties further request that the scheduled date of November 18, 2010, remain on		
	Stipulation to Advance Status Conference		

calendar, but that the hearing on that date be solely to address defendant's motions to dismiss counts and to suppress evidence, and that any evidentiary hearing to be held regarding the motion to suppress evidence (assuming the Court grants such a hearing) be held at a future date that is convenient to the Court and consistent with the witness's schedules – presumably in December 2010. Finally, the parties jointly request that the Pretrial Conference be rescheduled to December 16, 2010, at 2:00 p.m.

The reasons for the stipulation are three-fold: first, key witnesses needed by both sides are unavailable during the period currently scheduled for trial. One witness, identified here as P.V., was under subpoena by the defense and was also going to be a witness for the government. P.V. has advised the parties, through counsel, that he has prepaid tickets to be out of the country on personal travel from November 18 through December 9, 2010. Another defense witness, M.L., will be out of the country until at least December 3, 2010, on business travel. The parties have been also advised by counsel for Marvell that other current and former employees of Marvell, whose attendance at trial Marvell's counsel has been working to facilitate, have told him that the timing of the trial, coming so close to the Christmas holiday, is problematic for them.

Second, the Court's published schedule reflects unavailability during the week of December 6, 2010. Both sides agree that having this trial begin, then be interrupted for one week, only to resume again the week before Christmas, would be a bad idea. Neither side wants to have the jury pressured to reach a decision so that they can get home in time for the holidays.

Third, the parties just received the Clerk's Notice that the hearing on defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counts One through Three of the Superseding Indictment has been continued until November 18, 2010. Whatever ruling the Court makes in response to that motion will require some time for the parties to digest including, from the government's perspective, time to consider whether to file an interlocutory appeal in the event the motion is decided in favor of the defense.¹

¹ The government is not saying with certainty that it would appeal, only that the option would have to be considered, in light of the pending interlocutory appeal in *U.S. v. Nosal*, CR 08-

1	The parties agree that the time between today's date and January 10, 2011 should be	
2	excluded from the Speedy Trial Clock based on the need for effective defense preparation and	
3	continuity of defense counsel, the complexity of the case, and in light of the facts set forth in this	
4	stipulation and those articulated this morning in open court. 18 U.S.C. § § 3161(h)(7)(A) and	
5	(B)(i) and (ii).	
6	Dated: November 15, 2010	
7	MANUEL U. ARAUJO	
8	Assistant Federal Public Defender	
9	Dated: November 15, 2010	
10	DAVID R. CALLAWAY,	
11	Assistant United States Attorney	
12	<u>ORDER</u>	
13	For the reasons set forth by the parties in the stipulation above, and good cause	
14	appearing,	
15	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:	
16	(1) the Pretrial Conference scheduled for Thursday, November 18, 2010, is VACATED.	
17	(2) the parties shall nonetheless appear on that date, but for argument on defendant's	
18	motions to dismiss counts one through three of the superseding indictment and to suppress	
19	evidence, and for the scheduling of an evidentiary hearing on the latter motion, should the	
20	Court decide such a hearing is appropriate;	
21	(3) the jury trial currently scheduled to begin on November 29, 2010, is also	
22	VACATED. The trial shall now begin on Monday, January 10, 2011, with a final pretrial	
23	conference to be held on Thursday, December 16, 2010, at 2:00 p.m.; and finally,	
24	//	
25	//	
26		

Case 5:05-cr-00812-RMW Document 202 Filed 11/17/10 Page 4 of 4

1	(4) the time between today's date and the beginning of trial on January 10, 2011, is
2	excluded from the Speedy trial clock based on the need for effective defense preparation and
3	continuity of defense counsel, the complexity of the case, and in light of the facts set forth in
4	this stipulation and articulated by the parties today in open court. 18 U.S.C. § § 3161(h)(7)(A)
5	and (B)(i) and (ii).
6	R. A. Bar d. A.
7	Ronald M. Whyte. RONALD M. WHYTE
8	United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

Stipulation to Advance Status Conference No. CR 05-00812 RMW